

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Domain wall solutions of KdV like equations with higher order nonlinearity

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1986 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 19 L9 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/19/1/003)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 09:56

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Domain wall solutions of Kav like equations with higher order nonlinearity

Bishwajyoti Dey

Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar-751005, India

Received 21 August 1985

Abstract. We consider certain nonlinear partial differential equations which are Kortewegde Vries (κdv) like equations with higher order nonlinearity. We show that these have got kink (domain wall) solutions for particular values of the coefficients of the nonlinear terms. The solutions are compared with the standard known solution of the $\lambda \phi^{2n}$ field theories. Some conservation laws for these system of equations are also given.

We consider four forms of nonlinear partial differential equations.

(i) The first form

$$u_t + a(1+bu)uu_x + \delta u_{xxx} = 0, \qquad a, \delta > 0$$
(1a)

can be derived from the Lagrangian density

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2}\theta_x\theta_t + \frac{1}{6}a(1 + \frac{1}{2}b\theta_x)\theta_x^3 - \frac{1}{2}\delta\psi^2$$
(1b)

where $\theta_x = u$ and $\psi = \theta_{xx}$. This equation is like the combined Kdv equation, which for b = 0 reduces to the usual Kdv equation (Novikov *et al* 1984). The subscripts denote partial derivatives.

(ii) The second form

$$[a(1+bu)u-\eta]u_x+\delta u_{xxx}=0, \qquad \eta/a, \eta/\delta>0 \qquad (2a)$$

can be derived from

$$\mathscr{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{6}a(1+\frac{1}{2}b\theta_x)\theta_x - \frac{1}{2}\eta \end{bmatrix} \theta_x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\delta\psi^2.$$
(2b)

This equation is comparable with the static case above (1a), except for an additional term ηu_{x} .

(iii) The third form

$$u_t + bu^2 u_x - \delta u_{xxx} = 0, \qquad b, \, \delta > 0, \tag{3a}$$

is the modified κ_{dv} equation (Novikov *et al* 1984) with the exception of the sign of the highest derivative term. This equation can be derived from

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2}\theta_x\theta_t + \frac{1}{12}b\theta_x^4 + \frac{1}{2}\delta\psi^2.$$
(3b)

(iv) The fourth form is

$$u_t + a(1 + bu^2)u^2u_x + \delta u_{xxx} = 0,$$
 $a, \delta > 0$ (4a)

0305-4470/86/010009 + 04\$02.50 © 1986 The Institute of Physics L9

which for b = 0 becomes the modified kav equation. It can be derived from

$$\mathscr{L} = \frac{1}{2}\theta_x\theta_t + \frac{1}{6}a\theta_x^4(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5}b\theta_x^2) - \frac{1}{2}\delta\psi^2.$$

$$\tag{4b}$$

In order to look for travelling solitary wave solutions we make the simple transformation

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{t} \tag{5}$$

where c is the propagation velocity of the solitary waves. With this transformation (1a) reduces to the stationary equation

$$-cu_{\xi} + a(1+bu)uu_{\xi} + \delta u_{\xi\xi\xi} = 0, \qquad (6a)$$

which, after integrating twice WRT ξ , can be rewritten as

$$-\frac{1}{2}cu^{2} + \frac{1}{6}au^{3} + \frac{1}{12}abu^{4} + \frac{1}{2}\delta u_{\xi}^{2} = k_{1}u + k_{2}$$
(6b)

where k_1 and k_2 are constants of integration. Similarly (2a) reduces to

$$-\frac{1}{2}\eta u^{2} + \frac{1}{6}au^{3} + \frac{1}{12}abu^{4} + \frac{1}{2}\delta u_{x}^{2} = k_{1}u + k_{2},$$
⁽⁷⁾

equation (3a) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{2}cu^2 - \frac{1}{12}bu^4 + \frac{1}{2}\delta u_{\xi}^2 = k_1 u + k_2 \tag{8}$$

and finally (4a) reduces to

$$-\frac{1}{2}cu^{2} + \frac{1}{12}au^{4} + \frac{1}{30}abu^{6} + \frac{1}{2}\delta u_{\xi}^{2} = k_{1}u + k_{2}.$$
(9)

Integration of (6b), (7), (8) and (9) gives, respectively, the domain wall (kink) solutions of (1a)-(4a). Thus the solutions of (1a) are given by

$$u(x, t) = (3c/a)\{1 \pm \tanh[(c/\delta)^{1/2}\xi/2]\}$$
(10)

for $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ and b = -a/6c. The \pm sign corresponds to kink and antikink solutions, respectively. As ξ varies from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, the kink and antikink solutions interpolate between 0 and 6c/a and between 6c/a and 0, respectively. These solutions resemble the kink and antikink solutions of $\lambda \phi^2 (\varphi - 1)^2$ field theory (Dey 1985).

The solutions of (2a) (static solutions) are given by

$$u(x) = \frac{3\eta}{a} \{1 \pm \tanh[(\eta/\delta)^{1/2} x/2]\}$$
(11)

for $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ and $b = -a/6\eta$. As in the above case the \pm sign corresponds to the kink and antikink solutions respectively.

The kink and antikink $(\pm sign)$ solution of (3a) are given by

$$u(x, t) = \pm (3c/b)^{1/2} \tanh[(c/2\delta)^{1/2}(\xi + k_3)]$$
(12)

for $k_1 = 0$, $k_2 = 3c^2/4b$ and k_3 a constant of integration. It can be noted that these solutions resemble the kink and antikink solutions of $\lambda \phi^4$ field theory (Khare 1979). Finally the kink and antikink solutions of (4a) are given by

$$u(x, t) = \pm (6c/a)^{1/2} [1 \pm \tanh(c/\delta)^{1/2} \xi]^{1/2}$$
(13)

for $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ and b = -5a/48c. Out of these four solutions, the kink solutions are $u = (6c/a)^{1/2} \{1 + \tanh[(c/\delta)^{1/2}\xi]\}^{1/2}$ and $u = -(6c/a)^{1/2} \{1 - \tanh[(c/\delta)^{1/2}\xi]\}^{1/2}$, the remaining two are antikink solutions. These solutions resemble the kink and antikink solutions of $\lambda \phi^6$ field theory (Khare 1979).

Now we write some conservation laws for these types of equations. A conservation law associated with a κdv like equation is expressed by an equation of the form (Miura *et al* 1968)

$$T_t + X_x = 0 \tag{14}$$

where T the conserved density and -X, the flux of T, are functions of u(x, t).

Considering (1a), we can immediately write down two conservation laws, the T and X values which are given by

$$T_1 = u \qquad X_1 = au^2(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}bu) + \delta u_{xx}$$
(15)

and

$$T_2 = u^2 \qquad X_2 = \frac{1}{3}au^3 + \frac{1}{4}abu^4 + \delta uu_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}\delta u_x^2.$$
(16)

Similarly, we can write down two conservation laws for (4a), the T and X values for which are given by

$$T_1 = u X_1 = \frac{1}{3}au^3 + \frac{1}{5}abu^5 + \delta u_{xx} (17)$$

and

 $T_2 = \frac{1}{2}u^2 \qquad X_2 = \frac{1}{4}au^4 + \frac{1}{6}abu^6 + \delta uu_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}\delta u_x^2.$ (18)

Other conservation laws for (1a) and (4a) are not obvious and we are currently trying to find some of them (if there are any).

Equation (3a) is a more interesting case, because as for the modified κdv equation (Miura *et al* 1968) we can write many more conservation laws. The first four values of T and X are given below:

$$T_1 = u X_1 = \frac{1}{3}bu^3 - \delta u_{xx} (19)$$

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{2}u^2 \qquad X_2 = \frac{1}{4}bu^4 - \delta u u_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}\delta u_x^2$$
(20)

 $T_{3} = \frac{1}{4}bu^{4} + \frac{3}{2}\delta u_{x}^{2} \qquad X_{3} = \frac{1}{6}b^{2}u^{6} - b\delta u^{3}u_{xx} + 3b\delta u^{2}u_{x}^{2} - 3\delta^{2}u_{x}u_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2}\delta^{2}u_{xx}^{2}$ (21)

and finally

$$T_{4} = \frac{1}{6}b^{2}u^{6} + 5b\delta u^{2}u_{x}^{2} + 3\delta^{2}u_{xx}^{2}$$

$$X_{4} = \frac{1}{8}b^{3}u^{8} - b^{2}\delta u^{5}u_{xx} - 10b\delta^{2}u^{2}u_{x}u_{xxx} + \frac{15}{2}b^{2}\delta u^{4}u_{x}^{2} + 10b\delta^{2}uu_{x}^{2}u_{xx}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}b\delta^{2}u_{x}^{4} + 8b\delta^{2}u^{2}u_{xx}^{2} - 6\delta^{3}u_{xx}u_{xxxx} + 3\delta^{3}u_{xxx}^{2}.$$
(22)

The Hamiltonian nature of these system of equations is not obvious and needs further critical examination. Presently we are looking into this problem.

In conclusion we say that we have obtained exact domain wall (kink) solutions of κdv like nonlinear partial differential equations with higher order nonlinearity. The solutions are compared with the standard kink solutions of $\lambda \phi^{2n}$ theories. The Lagrangian density of each of these equations is written down. We have also written down a few conservation laws for these systems of equations. The results are important because until now no domain wall solutions of κdv like equations were known to exist.

The author would like to thank S N Behera, A Khare and S G Mishra for useful discussions and suggestions.

References

Dey B 1985 unpublished Khare A 1979 Lett Math. Phys. 3 475 Miura R M, Gardner C S and Kruskal M D 1968 J. Math. Phys. 9 1204 Novikov S, Manakov S V, Pataevskii L P and Zakharov V E 1984 Theory of Solitons ed Revaz Gamkrelidge (New York: Consultants Bureau)